# tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases

# Factors Associated with the Discrepancy between Exercise Capacity and Airflow Limitation in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Tae Hoon Kim, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, I Re Heo, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Na Young Kim, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Joo Hun Park, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>, Hee-Young Yoon, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>4</sup>, Ji Ye Jung, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>5</sup>, Seung Won Ra, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>6</sup>, Ki-Suck Jung, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>7</sup>, Kwang Ha Yoo, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>8</sup> and Ho Cheol Kim, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Gyeongsang National University College of Medicine, Changwon, <sup>2</sup>Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Hallym University Dongtan Sacred Heart Hospital, Hwaseong, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, <sup>4</sup>Division of Allergy and Respiratory Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Seoul Hospital, Seoul, <sup>6</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, <sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, Ulsan University Hospital, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Ulsan, <sup>7</sup>Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, College of Medicine, Hallym University, Anyang, <sup>®</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Allergy Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Konkuk University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

# Abstract

**Background:** Exercise capacity is associated with lung function decline in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients, but a discrepancy between exercise capacity and airflow limitation exists. This study aimed to explore factors contributing to this discrepancy in COPD patients.

**Methods:** Data for this prospective study were obtained from the Korean COPD Subgroup Study. The exercise capacity and airflow limitation were assessed using the 6-minute walk distance (6-MWD; m) and forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV<sub>1</sub>). Participants were divided into four groups: FEV<sub>1</sub> >50%+6-MWD >350, FEV<sub>1</sub> >50%+6-MWD ≤350, FEV<sub>1</sub> ≤50%+6-MWD >350, and FEV<sub>1</sub> ≤50%+6-MWD ≤350 and their clinical characteristics were compared.

**Results:** A total of 883 patients (male:female, 822:61; mean age, 68.3 $\pm$ 7.97 years) were enrolled. Among 591 patients with FEV<sub>1</sub> >50%, 242 were in the 6-MWD ≤350 group, and among 292 patients with FEV<sub>1</sub> ≤50%, 185 were in the 6-MWD >350 group. The multiple regression analyses revealed that male sex (odds ratio [OR], 8.779; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.539 to 50.087; p=0.014), current smoking status (OR, 0.355; 95% CI, 0.178 to 0.709; p=0.003), and hemoglobin levels (OR, 1.332; 95% CI, 1.077 to 1.648; p=0.008) were significantly associated with discrepancies in exercise capacity and airflow limitation in patients with FEV<sub>1</sub> >50%. Meanwhile, in patients with FEV<sub>1</sub> ≤50%, diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide (OR, 0.945; 95% CI, 0.912 to 0.979; p=0.002) was significantly associated with discrepancies between exercise capacity and airflow limitation. **Conclusion:** The exercise capacity of COPD patients may be influenced by factors other than airflow limitation, so these aspects should be considered when assessing and treating patients.

**Keywords:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; Exercise Capacity; 6-Minute Walk Distance; Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 Second

#### https://doi.org/10.4046/trd.2023.0068 ISSN: 1738-3536(Print)/ 2005-6184(Online) Tuberc Respir Dis 2024;87:155-164



Copyright © 2024 The Korean Academy of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases

Address for correspondence Ho Cheol Kim, M.D., Ph.D. Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, 11 Samjeongja-ro, Seongsan-gu, Changwon 51472, Republic of Korea Phone 82-55-214-3730 Fax 82-55-214-3730 Fax 82-55-214-8618 E-mail hochkim@gnu.ac.kr Received May. 13, 2023 Revised Oct. 22, 2023 Accepted Dec. 26, 2023



Self is identical to the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/).

Published online Jan. 16, 2024

### Introduction

Reduced exercise capacity is a key clinical feature in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients<sup>1</sup> who are usually associated with a decline in lung function, particularly forced expiratory volume in 1 second ( $FEV_1$ )<sup>2</sup>.

Studies on the association between the degree of airflow limitation and exercise capacity in COPD revealed that patients with minor airflow limitation do not have a decreased exercise capacity. However, patients with moderate airflow limitation are reported to exhibit a reduced exercise capacity<sup>3</sup>. In a study comprising 118 COPD patients, the 6-minute walk distance (6-MWD) test results, used to assess exercise capacity, indicated that the performance of patients with severe airflow limitation was approximately 30% worse than those with mild airflow limitation<sup>4</sup>.

However, the severity of the airflow limitation in COPD patients cannot be used to accurately predict a decline in exercise capacity. Spruit et al.<sup>5</sup>'s study including 1,795 individuals with COPD investigated the predictors of poor 6-MWD test results (<350 m). They reported that, in addition to significant airflow limitation, a high degree of emphysema, more depressed mood, and greater perception of dyspnea symptoms were related to a decreased exercise capacity<sup>5</sup>. Furthermore, regardless of the airflow limitation level, patients more vulnerable to dynamic hyperinflation display a more reduced exercise capacity<sup>6,7</sup>.

These studies suggest that decreased exercise capacity in COPD is highly complex, involving a wide range of contributing factors. In clinical practice, we often encounter patients with preserved exercise capacity despite significant airflow limitations, while others exhibit profoundly reduced exercise capacity despite mild airflow restrictions. Thus, this study aimed to identify the variables that contribute to the discrepancy between exercise capacity and airflow limitation in COPD patients.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### 1. Study population

This study included patients from the Korean COPD Subgroup Study cohort, since December 2011, a multicenter, prospective observational cohort of COPD patients from 53 centers in South Korea. The inclusion criteria were over 40 years old and a postbronchodilator FEV<sub>1</sub>/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of 0.7. To enroll the patients, physicians or skilled nurses used case-report forms to record information such as age, sex, height, weight, smoking status, patient-reported education level, area of residence, comorbidities, and respiratory symptoms such as cough and sputum production. In addition, results for 6-MWD, the COPD assessment test (CAT), COPD-specific St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) score, and modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) score for dyspnea severity were evaluated<sup>8</sup>. A serologic laboratory test was also performed. During the first year of follow-up after enrollment, the occurrence and frequency of exacerbations were examined.

# 2. Pulmonary function test, 6-MWD test, and COPD severity

During enrolment, spirometer, diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide (DLCO), lung volume measurement, and 6-MWD were measured according to the recommendations of the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society<sup>9</sup>. The severity of COPD was classified according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) guideline. Lung function severity was classified as GOLD stage 1 (FEV<sub>1</sub>  $\geq$ 80%), GOLD stage 2 (50%  $\leq$  FEV<sub>1</sub> <80%), GOLD stage 3 (30%  $\leq$  FEV<sub>1</sub> <50%), and GOLD stage 4 (FEV<sub>1</sub> <30%).

#### 3. Group assignment

The patients were divided into four groups according to their FEV<sub>1</sub> and 6-MWD results. To differentiate between mild to moderate and severe to very severe airflow limitation, the threshold for FEV<sub>1</sub> was 50%, which separates GOLD stages 1–2 from GOLD stages 3–4. To distinguish between high and low exercise capacity among the participants, the threshold for the 6-MWD was set as 350 m, which was considered "poor 6-MWD" in the Evaluation of COPD Longitudinally to Identify Predictive Surrogate End-points (ECLIPSE) study<sup>10</sup>. Therefore, the four groups were as follows: group 1 (high FEV<sub>1</sub> >50% and high 6-MWD >350 m), group 2 (high FEV<sub>1</sub> ≤50% and high 6-MWD >350 m), and group 4 (low FEV<sub>1</sub> ≤50% and low 6-MWD ≤350 m).

#### 4. Ethics statement

This study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines, and written informed consent was obtained from all the participants. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital (GNUCH-2023-03-029).

#### 5. Statistical analyses

Categorical variables are reported as frequencies and

percentages, whereas all continuous variables are described as mean and standard deviation. To examine continuous variables for normal and nonnormal data distributions, respectively, a two-sample t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test was applied and the Fisher's exact test or the chi-squared test was used to assess categorical values. Multiple logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess the components that may contribute to the discrepancy between exercise capacity and airflow limitation. Covariates were selected for the logistic regression model based on univariate analysis (p>0.2) and clinical relevance. Age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking status, the Charlson comorbidity index (CCI), lung volume, the DLCO, and FEV<sub>1</sub> values from pulmonary function tests, the CAT and BDI scores, and the mMRC score were covariates along with exacerbation from the prior year and laboratory test. A p-value of 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant for all comparisons. The SPSS version 25.0 for Windows (IBM Company, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

#### Results

#### 1. Baseline characteristics

A total of 883 patients were included in the study. We only included patients who had completed the 6-MWD test and pulmonary function tests, including diffusion capacity and lung volume measurements. These patients completed the CAT and SGRQ (Figure 1). The median age of the 883 patients was 70 years (interquartile range, 64 to 74), with males accounting for 92.9% of the study population. The mean BMI of the patients was 23.4±3.33 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and current smokers accounted for 64.3% of the study population. The CCI was  $0.3\pm0.69$ . The FEV<sub>1</sub> was  $1.76\pm0.64$  and %FEV<sub>1</sub> was  $60.3\pm19.76$ . The DLCO (%) was  $66.4\pm20.86$  and residual volume (RV)/total lung capacity (TLC) (%) was  $40.6\pm12.04$ . The 6-MWD was  $384.7\pm112.83$  m. CAT and SGRQ total scores were  $12.9\pm7.46$  and  $25.5\pm18.36$ , respectively. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the study population.

#### 2. Comparison of the clinical characteristics of four groups of COPD patients

The characteristics of the groups, including pulmonary function and 6-MWD, are shown in Table 2. There were 591 patients with  $FEV_1 > 50\%$  and 292 patients with  $FEV_1 \leq 50\%$ . Among the 591 patients with  $FEV_1 > 50\%$ , 349 (59%) and 242 (41%) patients had 6-MWD >350 m and ≤350 m, respectively (Figure 2). Age and the CCI were substantially higher in the 6-MWD ≤350 m group than in the 6-MWD >350 m group (70.1±9.1 vs. 67.2±7.4, p=0.001; 0.34±0.76 vs. 0.29±0.63, p=0.001; respectively). However, the proportion of current smokers was significantly higher in the 6-MWD >350 m group than in the 6-MWD  $\leq$ 350 m group (34.4 vs. 15.3, p=0.001). For the pulmonary function test, the FEV<sub>1</sub> (L), FVC (%), and FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC (%) were significantly higher in the 6-MWD ≤350 m group than in the 6-MWD >350 m group (2.0±0.47 vs. 2.17±0.63, p=0.001; 67.6±12.6 vs. 75.3±16.5, p=0.001; 56.68±8.99 vs. 59.88±13.02, p=0.001; respectively). RV/TLC (%) was significantly higher in the 6-MWD >350 m group than in the 6-MWD ≤350 m group (37.4±9.15 vs. 34.6±10.24, p=0.001). The 6-MWD was significantly higher in the 6-MWD >350 m group than in the 6-MWD  $\leq$ 350 m group (463.56 $\pm$ 72.03) vs. 278.37±52.15, p=0.001). In addition, the hemoglo-

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of the study population. COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; 6-MWD: 6-minute walk distance; DLCO: diffusion capacity carbon monoxide; SGRQ: St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire; CAT: COPD assessment test.



Table 1. Clinical characteristic   patients	s of the enrolled COPE
Characteristic	COPD (n=883)
	68 3+7 97

Age, yr	68.3±7.97
Male sex	820 (92.9)
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	23.4±3.33
Education level (>12 years)	162 (18.3)
Residence, urban area	742 (83.8)
Smoking status	
Current smoker	229 (25.9)
Never smoker	85 (9.6)
Ex-smoker	569 (64.4)
CCI score	0.3±0.69
Cough over 3 months/year	207 (23.4)
Sputum over 3 months/year	300 (33.9)
Pulmonary function test	
FEV <sub>1</sub> , L	1.76±0.64
FEV <sub>1</sub> , %	60.3±19.76
FVC, L	3.38±0.80
FVC, %	81.9±16.03
FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, mL/min/mm Hg	52.0±13.40
DLCO, mL/min/mm Hg	13.71±4.86
DLCO, %	66.4±20.86
RV/TLC, %	40.6±12.04
mMRC	1.23±0.92
mMRC >2	260 (30.6)
CAT score	12.9±7.46
SGRQ total score	25.5±18.36
BDI (n=744)	6.08±7.68
Exacerbation at recent 1-year, % (n=441)	85 (9.6)
6-MWD	384.7±112.83
Laboratory finding	
WBC, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (n=831)	7.07±2.20
Hemoglobin, g/dL (n=831)	14.4±1.43
Hematocrit, % (n=829)	43.2±4.31
Neutrophil, % (n=820)	58.47±10.53
Lymphocyte, % (n=820)	28.6±9.17
Eosinophil, % (n=820)	3.19±3.05
BUN, mg/dL (n=789)	16.55±5.76
Creatinine, mg/dL (n=797)	1.03±0.89
Albumin, g/dL (n=788)	4.47±0.35
IgE, IU/mL (n=419)	263.5±442.26
CRP, mg/L (n=439)	2.35±4.21

Table 1. Continued						
Characteristic	COPD (n=883)					
IL-6, pg/mL (n=152)	173.9±710.06					
Troponin I, ng/mL (n=313)	15.9±4.47					
Pro-BNP, pg/mL (n=366)	161.2±408.9					
D-dimer, μg/mL (n=324)	0.5±0.64					

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%).

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BMI: body mass index; CCI: Charlson comorbidity index; FEV<sub>1</sub>: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC: forced vital capacity; DLCO: diffusion capacity carbon monoxide; RV: residual volume; TLC: total lung capacity; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council; CAT: COPD assessment test; SGRQ: St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire; BDI: Beck depression inventory; 6-MWD: 6-minute walk distance; WBC: white blood cell; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; IgE: immunoglobulin E; CRP: C-reactive protein; IL: interleukin; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide.

bin levels and lymphocyte count (%) were significantly lower in the 6-MWD  $\leq$ 350 m group than in the 6-MWD >350 m group (14.25±1.48 vs. 14.54±1.40, p=0.01; 29.68±8.72 vs. 31.36±9.19, p=0.015; respectively).

In 292 patients with FEV<sub>1</sub> ≤50%, 185 (63.3%) and 107 (36.7%) patients were in the 6-MWD >350 m and ≤350 m groups, respectively. Age and the CCI were significantly higher in the 6-MWD ≤350 m group than in the 6-MWD >350 m group (69.29±7.55 vs. 67.28±7.1, p=0.012; 0.41±0.71 vs. 0.36±0.71, p=0.001; respectively). However, the proportion of current smokers was significantly higher in the 6-MWD >350 m group than in the 6-MWD  $\leq$ 350 m group (29.2 vs. 16.8, p=0.019). The pulmonary function test revealed that the  $FEV_1$  (L), FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC (%), and DLCO (%) were significantly lower in the 6-MWD ≤350 m group than in the 6-MWD >350 m group (1.09±0.88 vs. 1.18±0.26, p=0.007; 38.06±9.10 vs. 40.99±9.03, p=0.004; 49.6±18.6 vs. 59.7±17.5, p=0.001; respectively). RV/TLC (%) was significantly higher in the 6-MWD ≤350 m group than in the 6-MWD >350 m group (51.43±13.15 vs. 48.2±10.39, p=0.001). For the laboratory findings, the troponin I level was significantly higher in the 6-MWD ≤350 m group than in the 6-MWD >350 m group (0.07±0.41 vs. 0.05±0.05, p=0.012). CAT score, SGRQ total score, and BDI were significantly higher in the 6-MWD ≤350 m group than in the 6-MWD >350 m group (17.7±8.54 vs. 14.79±7.45, p=0.001; 40.58±25.2 vs. 30.95±16.68, p=0.001; 8.84±10.03 vs. 6.06±7.32, p=0.009; respectively).

	FE	/ <sub>1</sub> >50% (n=591)		FEV	/ <sub>1</sub> ≤50% (n=292)	
Characteristic	6-MWD >350 m (n=349)	6-MWD ≤350 m (n=242)	p-value	6-MWD >350 m (n=185)	6-MWD ≤350 m (n=107)	p-value
Age, yr	67.2±7.4	70.1±9.1	0.001	67.28±7.10	69.29±7.55	0.012
Male sex, %	318 (91.1)	230 (95)	0.071	173 (93.5)	99 (92.5)	0.747
BMI, kg/m²	23.9±2.98	23.9±3.17	0.419	22.4±3.15	22.4±4.31	0.483
Education level (>12 years)	69 (19.5)	49 (20.2)	0.832	32 (17.3)	11 (10.3)	0.103
Residence, urban area, %	202 (58)	181 (75.1)	0.045	105 (57.1)	65 (60.7)	0.287
Smoking status						
Current smoker	120 (34.4)	37 (15.3)	0.001	54 (29.2)	18 (16.8)	0.019
Never smoker	35 (10)	24 (9.9)		19 (10.3)	7 (6.5)	
Ex-smoker	197 (55.6)	181 (74.8)		112 (60.5)	82 (76.6)	
CCI score	0.29±0.63	0.34±0.76	0.001	0.36±0.71	0.41± 0.71	0.001
Cough over 3 months/year	74 (21.4)	51 (21.1)	0.913	52 (28.3)	30 (28.0)	0.967
Sputum over 3 months/year	112 (32.5)	84 (34.7)	0.570	66 (35.9)	38 (35.5)	0.951
Pulmonary function test						
FEV <sub>1</sub> , L	2.0±0.47	2.17±0.63	0.001	1.18±0.26	1.09±0.88	0.007
FEV1, %	67.6±12.6	75.3±16.5	0.001	39.68±7.63	38.1±8.72	0.001
FVC, L	3.55±0.71	3.64±0.81	0.086	2.96±0.74	2.94±0.71	0.386
FVC, %	86.1±13.7	88.0±14.8	0.086	71.7±14.8	72.4±14.8	0.386
FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, mL/min/mm Hg	56.68±8.99	59.88±13.02	0.001	40.99±9.03	38.06±9.10	0.004
DLCO, mL/min/mm Hg	14.9±4.74	14.5±4.88	0.152	12.46±4.16	10.14±4.13	0.001
DLCO, %	72.0±20.0	70.7±20.1	0.231	59.7±17.5	49.6±18.6	0.001
RV/TLC, %	37.4±9.15	34.6±10.24	0.001	48.2±10.39	51.43±13.15	0.011
mMRC >2	42 (55.3)	34 (44.7)	0.048	50 (55.6)	40 (44.4)	0.001
CAT score	11.6±6.48	11.4±7.13	0.422	14.79±7.45	17.7±8.54	0.001
SGRQ total score	20.45±14.95	20.89±15.81	0.332	30.95±16.68	40.58±25.2	0.001
BDI, L	5.32±6.98	6.13±7.65	0.053	6.06±7.32	8.84±10.03	0.009
Exacerbation at recent 1 years	30 (8.6)	11 (4.5)	0.001	37 (20.0)	28 (26.2)	0.040
6-MWD, m	463.56±72.03	278.37±52.15	0.001	447.02±64.93	258.86±79.95	0.001

lable 2. Continued	EF	/ >50% (n=501)			<pre><eo% (n="202)&lt;/pre"></eo%></pre>	
Characteristic	6-MWD >350 m (n=349)	6-MWD ≤350 m (n=242)	p-value	6-MWD >350 m (n=185)	6-MWD ≤350 m (n=107)	p-value
Laboratory finding						
WBC, 10 <sup>3</sup> /μL	6.92±2.14	6.78±2.13	0.760	7.40±2.26	7.68±2.34	0.168
Hemoglobin, g/dL	14.54±1.40	14.25±1.48	0.01	14.58±1.34	14.32±1.54	0.078
Hematocrit, %	43.29±4.55	42.77±4.14	0.085	43.77±3.96	43.15±4.45	0.120
Neutrophil, %	56.96±10.55	57.63±10.08	0.224	61.35±9.90	60.33±11.39	0.223
Lymphocyte, %	31.36±9.19	29.68±8.72	0.015	27.14±8.6	27.92±9.89	0.251
Eosinophil, %	3.13±2.92	3.62±3.63	0.042	2.81±2.34	3.00±2.93	0.273
BUN, mg/dL	16.5±5.92	17.47±5.51	0.027	15.46±5.10	16.37±6.67	0.111
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.00±0.95	1.05±0.27	0.211	1.05±1.34	1.02±0.69	0.427
Albumin, g/dL	4.48±0.33	4.54±0.35	0.024	4.40±0.37	4.39±0.38	0.461
CRP, mg/L	2.47±5.06	1.96±3.16	0.155	3.03±4.42	1.74±2.07	0.029
IL-6, pg/mL	168.3±747.39	181.59±735.8	0.466	217.34±670.16	40.±735.8	0.247
Troponin I, ng/mL	0.06±0.04	0.1±0.74	0.237	0.05±0.05	0.07±0.41	0.012
Pro-BNP, pg/mL	125.1±311.4	170.7±394.0	0.143	239.6±666.1	149.1±191.6	0.224
D-dimer, µg/mL	0.49±0.72	0.48±0.47	0.423	0.44±0.66	0.70±0.75	0.059
Values are presented as mean≞standard devi FEV,; forced expiratory volume in 1 second; capacity carbon monoxide; RV: residual volu Questionnaire; BDI: Beck depression invento	iation or number (%). 6-MWD: 6-minute walk di: me; TLC: total lung capacit ny; WBC: white blood cell; E	stance; BMI: body mass i :y; mMRC: modified Medi 8UN: blood urea nitrogen;	ndex; CCI: Charl cal Research Coi CRP: C-reactive j	son comorbidity index; FV( nncil; CAT: COPD assessm protein; IL: interleukin; BNP	C: forced vital capacity; D: forced vital capacity; ant test; SGRQ: St. Georg brain natriuretic peptide.	LCO: diffusion e's Respiratory

160

**Figure 2.** Classification of the patients into four groups according to forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) and 6-minute walk distance (6-MWD). COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.



#### 3. Factors associated with the discrepancy between airflow limitation and exercise capacity in mild to moderate COPD patients

Multiple logistic regression analyses revealed that males (odds ratio [OR], 8.779; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.539 to 50.087; p=0.014), current smoking status (OR, 0.355; 95% CI, 0.178 to 0.709; p=0.003), and hemoglobin levels (OR, 1.332; 95% CI, 1.077 to 1.648; p=0.008) were substantially related to differences in exercise capacity and airflow limitation in patients with mild to severe COPD (Table 3). This discrepancy was not linked to pulmonary function test results or laboratory findings.

#### 4. Factors associated with the discrepancy between airflow limitation and exercise capacity in severe to very severe COPD patients

Multiple logistic regression analyses showed that DLCO (OR, 0.945; 95% Cl, 0.912 to 0.979; p=0.002) was substantially associated with the discrepancy between airflow limitation and exercise capacity in patients with severe to very severe COPD (Table 4). There was no correlation between the severity of airway obstruction, smoking status, and laboratory results.

#### **Discussion**

This study investigated the characteristics and factors that are related to reduced exercise capacity despite mild to moderate airflow limitation and a higher exercise capacity despite severe to very severe airflow limitation in patients who had COPD. The main findings of this study were as follows. First, many COPD patients showed a discrepancy between the degree of airflow limitation and exercise capacity. In this study, 40% of the COPD patients with mild to moderate airflow limitation (FEV<sub>1</sub> >50%) exhibited a lower 6-MWD ( $\leq$ 350). Conversely, 36% of COPD patients with severe to very severe airflow limitation (FEV<sub>1</sub>  $\leq$ 50%) exhibited a higher 6-MWD (>350). Second, in patients with mild to moderate airflow limitation, male sex, smoking status, and hemoglobin level were associated with a discrepancy between airflow limitation and exercise capacity. In COPD patients with severe to very severe airflow limitation, the diffusion capacity of the lung was found to have an association with this discrepancy.

In this study, smoking status was associated with discrepancies between the degree of airflow limitation and exercise capacity in COPD patients with mild and moderate airflow limitation. It was found that patients with mild to moderate COPD who were current smokers had a considerably better capacity for exercise than anticipated. A previous study in China that compared the clinical characteristics of 4,331 COPD patients between current and former smokers indicated that current smokers have higher exercise capacity than former smokers<sup>11</sup>. This data reflects that in situations when the degree of airway obstruction is less severe, those with substantially higher exercise capacity are more likely to continue smoking. However, several studies have demonstrated that smoking status may have a negative influence on exercise capacity in healthy older individuals and patients with COPD. In another study of 154 older healthy individuals, 6-MWD according to their smoking status was measured<sup>12</sup>. Although the level of physical activity did not differ according to smoking status, current and ex-smokers had about 20% shorter 6-MWD than nonsmokers. In addition, current smokers without COPD showed a shorter 6-MWD than nonsmokers. Although handgrip and limb muscle

Table 3. Factors associated with a lower 6-MWD ( $\leq$ 350 m) in COPD patients with mild to moderate airflow obstruction (FEV<sub>1</sub> >50%)

	Un	ivariate analysis		Mu	Iltivariate analysis	;
Variable	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p-value	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p-value
Age, yr	0.956	0.936-0.976	<0.001	0.977	0.350-0.998	0.295
Male sex	1.868	0.939–3.716	0.075	8.779	1.539–50.087	0.014
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.994	0.942-1.049	0.835			
Residence, urban area	1.618	1.007-2.600	0.047	1.499	0.696-3.229	0.301
Smoking status, current	0.344	0.228-0.521	<0.001	0.355	0.178-0.709	0.003
CCI	0.889	0.702-1.126	0.330			
FEV <sub>1</sub> , %	0.965	0.953-0.976	<0.001	0.984	0.959-1.009	0.206
DLCO, %	1.003	0.995-1.011	0.461			
RV/TLC, %	1.031	1.013-1.050	0.001	0.990	0.953-1.028	0.601
mMRC >2	1.450	0.979-2.147	0.064	1.842	0.892-3.805	0.099
CAT score	1.002	0.978-1.027	0.842			
BDI	0.985	0.962-1.009	0.221			
SGRQ	1.002	0.992-1.013	0.660			
Exacerbation in the past 1 year	0.483	0.190-1.225	0.125	0.517	0.171-1.565	0.243
Hemoglobin	1.151	1.002-1.296	0.020	1.332	1.077-1.648	0.008
Lymphocyte count	1.021	1.002-1.041	0.031	1.012	0.981-1.044	0.444
Albumin, serum	0.603	0.364-0.990	0.050	0.752	0.312-1.812	0.525

6-MWD: 6-minute walk distance; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV<sub>1</sub>: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; BMI: body mass index; CCI: Charlson comorbidity index; DLCO: diffusion capacity carbon monoxide; RV: residual volume; TLC: total lung capacity; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council, CAT: COPD assessment test; BDI: Beck depression inventory; SGRQ: St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire.

strength were not different, the average 6-MWD in nonsmokers was significantly higher than that in smokers<sup>13</sup>. In addition, COPD patients who stopped smoking showed shorter 6-MWD than those who did not stop ( $305.23\pm58.36$  m vs.  $368.87\pm53.49$  m, respectively), but had similar airflow obstruction ( $1.44\pm0.56$  m vs.  $1.57\pm0.77$  m, respectively)<sup>14</sup>.

In our study, male COPD patients showed higher exercise capacity at higher degrees of airflow limitation. Data from the female COPD patients cohort study indicated that despite similar predicted FEV<sub>1</sub> values, females exhibited significantly shorter 6-MWD than males (366±89.9 vs. 384±120.5)<sup>16</sup>. Therefore, sex may affect exercise capacity in COPD patients showing higher exercise capacity in male despite some degree of airflow limitation.

There is a significant relationship between hemoglobin levels and exercise capacity in COPD patients. Low hemoglobin levels can contribute to reduced exercise capacity and poor 6-MWD in COPD patients. A previous study indicated that among 105 patients with COPD (FEV<sub>1</sub>= $1.3\pm0.6$ ), patients with anemia (12.3%) covered a significantly shorter 6-MWD (267.9±86.7) compared to patients without anemia (373.0±122.8, p=0.001) suggesting that hemoglobin levels were independently associated with decreased exercise capacity<sup>16</sup>. In a cohort of 683 stable COPD patients, patients with anemia experienced significantly higher levels of dyspnea, reduced exercise capacity, and shorter median survival duration compared to their nonanemic counterparts<sup>17</sup>. In our study, in COPD patients with mild to moderate airflow obstruction, hemoglobin levels were found to be associated with a discrepancy between airflow limitation and 6-MWD. This may reflect that maintaining hemoglobin levels may improve exercise capacity in COPD patients. Further, a previous study indicated that the intravenous administration of iron improved exercise capacity and reduced breathlessness in COPD patients<sup>18</sup>.

In our study, an association between DLCO and differences between the degree of airflow limitation and exercise capacity were observed in COPD patients with Table 4. Factors associated with higher 6-MWD (>350 m) in COPD patients with severe to very severe airflow obstruction (FEV $_1 \le 50\%$ )

	Ur	nivariate analysis		Mu	ltivariate analysis	
Variable	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p-value	Adjusted OR	95% Cl	p-value
Age, yr	1.039	1.005-1.074	0.024	1.002	0.933-1.075	0.967
Male sex	1.165	0.461-2.947	0.747			
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	1.001	0.938-1.070	0.967			
Residence, urban area	0.693	0.353-1.364	0.289			
Smoking status, current	2.038	1.121-3.705	0.020	3.403	0.919-12.604	0.067
CCI	1.111	0.800-1.543	0.530			
FEV <sub>1</sub> , %	0.976	0.948-1.005	0.108	1.026	0.960-1.095	0.451
DLCO, %	0.968	0.954–0.983	<0.001	0.945	0.912-0.979	0.002
RV/TLC, %	1.025	1.003-1.047	0.024	1.028	0.985-1.073	0.208
mMRC >2	0.509	0.314-0.825	0.006	0.668	0.216-2.063	0.483
CAT score	1.047	1.016-1.080	0.003	1.063	0.975-1.160	0.164
BDI	1.038	1.005-1.072	0.022	1.037	0.964-1.115	0.327
SGRQ	1.023	1.011-1.035	<0.001	0.969	0.935-1.005	0.087
Exacerbation in the past 1 year	0.418	0.211-0.826	0.012	0.539	0.203-1.432	0.215
Hemoglobin	0.882	0.741-1.050	0.158	0.949	0.682-1.320	0.756
Lymphocyte count	1.010	0.982-1.038	0.501			
Albumin, serum	0.966	0.486-1.920	0.922			

6-MWD: 6-minute walk distance; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV<sub>1</sub>: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; BMI: body mass index; CCI: Charlson comorbidity index; DLCO: diffusion capacity carbon monoxide; RV: residual volume; TLC: total lung capacity; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council; CAT: COPD assessment test; BDI: Beck depression inventory; SGRQ: St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire.

severe to very severe airflow limitation. Several studies have reported that DLCO is a strong predictor of exercise capacity in COPD patients. In a previous study that examined 6-MWD, spirometer, lung volume, and DLCO in 130 stable COPD patients, only DLCO was observed to be substantially linked with 6-MWD in multivariate analysis<sup>19</sup>. In addition, a decline of 6-MWD (>30 m) was reported to be associated with reduced DLCO regardless of similar FEV<sub>1</sub> value<sup>20</sup>. Similar to our findings, these studies suggest that even severe to very severe airflow obstruction COPD patients with preserved diffusion capacity may demonstrate preserved exercise capacity.

There were some limitations to our investigation. First, some COPD patients did not complete the 6-MWD test due to dyspnea or muscle fatigue in their limbs. These patients were typically older, had a lower BMI, and experienced skeletal muscle atrophy, which could be attributed to medication use or the effects of COPD itself. Additionally, there may have been variations in the timing of the 6-MWD test among the study participants. The test is usually performed as an initial assessment for COPD, to avoid the influence of medication. However, it can also be conducted while patients are taking medications, such as bronchodilators. Consequently, the exercise performance of these patients may have been compromised.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that the discrepancy between airflow limitation and exercise capacity in COPD patients was associated with sex, smoking status, hemoglobin levels, and lung diffusion capacity. Therefore, it suggests that exercise capacity in COPD patients may be influenced by various factors beyond airflow limitation, highlighting the importance of considering these aspects when assessing and treating patients.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

Conceptualization: Kim TH, Kim HC. Methodology: Kim HC. Formal analysis: Kim HC. Data curation: Heo IR, Kim NY, Park JH, Yoon HY, Jung JY, Ra SW, Jung KS, Yoo KH. Software: Kim HC. Validation: Kim HC. Writing - original draft preparation: Kim TH, Kim HC. Writing - review and editing: Heo IR, Kim NY, Park JH, Yoon HY, Jung JY, Ra SW, Jung KS, Yoo KH. Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

# Funding

This work was supported by a Research Program funded by the Korea National Institute of Health (Fund CODE 2016ER670100, 2016ER670101, 2016ER670102, 2018 ER67100, 2018ER67101, 2018ER67102, 2021ER120500, 2021ER120501, and 2021ER120502).

# References

- 1. Calverley PM. Exercise and dyspnoea in COPD. Eur Respir Rev 2006;15:72-9.
- 2. Tantucci C, Modina D. Lung function decline in COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2012;7:95-9.
- Pinto-Plata VM, Celli-Cruz RA, Vassaux C, Torre-Bouscoulet L, Mendes A, Rassulo J, et al. Differences in cardiopulmonary exercise test results by American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society-Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease stage categories and gender. Chest 2007;132:1204-11.
- Krishna BS, Mohapatra AK, Behera D, Jagaty SK, Subhankar S, Venkataram R, et al. Six-minute walk test and its correlation with spirometry in stable COPD patients. J Health Allied Sci NU 2021;12:280-5.
- Spruit MA, Watkins ML, Edwards LD, Vestbo J, Calverley PM, Pinto-Plata V, et al. Determinants of poor 6-min walking distance in patients with COPD: the ECLIPSE cohort. Respir Med 2010;104:849-57.
- O'Donnell DE, Revill SM, Webb KA. Dynamic hyperinflation and exercise intolerance in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001;164: 770-7.
- O'Donnell DE, Webb KA. The major limitation to exercise performance in COPD is dynamic hyperinflation. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2008;105:753-7.
- Vestbo J, Hurd SS, Agusti AG, Jones PW, Vogelmeier C, Anzueto A, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;187:347-65.
- 9. Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, Barjaktarevic IZ,

Cooper BG, Hall GL, et al. Standardization of spirometry 2019 update: an official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society Technical Statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2019;200:e70-88.

- Vestbo J, Anderson W, Coxson HO, Crim C, Dawber F, Edwards L, et al. Evaluation of COPD longitudinally to identify predictive surrogate end-points (ECLIPSE). Eur Respir J 2008;31:869-73.
- Liu C, Cheng W, Zeng Y, Zhou Z, Zhao Y, Duan J, et al. Different characteristics of ex-smokers and current smokers with COPD: a cross-sectional study in China. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2020;15:1613-19.
- 12. Mesquita R, Goncalves CG, Hayashi D, Costa Vde S, Teixeira Dde C, de Freitas ER, et al. Smoking status and its relationship with exercise capacity, physical activity in daily life and quality of life in physically independent, elderly individuals. Physiotherapy 2015;101:55-61.
- 13. Caram LM, Ferrari R, Bertani AL, Garcia T, Mesquita CB, Knaut C, et al. Smoking and early COPD as independent predictors of body composition, exercise capacity, and health status. PLoS One 2016;11:e0164290.
- 14. Saetia M, Puangprachang K, Semanu P, Siaosirithaworn S. Effects of 6 minute walk test and lung function test between smoking and stop smoking in chronic obstruction pulmonary disease patients at Samut Songkhram. Tob Induc Dis 2021;19:A17.
- 15. Kim Y, Kim SH, Rhee CK, Lee JS, Lee CY, Kim DK, et al. Air trapping and the risk of COPD exacerbation: analysis from prospective KOCOSS Cohort. Front Med (Lausanne) 2022;9:835069.
- 16. Ferrari M, Manea L, Anton K, Bruzzone P, Meneghello M, Zamboni F, et al. Anemia and hemoglobin serum levels are associated with exercise capacity and quality of life in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. BMC Pulm Med 2015;15:58.
- Cote C, Zilberberg MD, Mody SH, Dordelly LJ, Celli B. Haemoglobin level and its clinical impact in a cohort of patients with COPD. Eur Respir J 2007;29:923-9.
- Santer P, McGahey A, Frise MC, Petousi N, Talbot NP, Baskerville R, et al. Intravenous iron and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open Respir Res 2020;7:e000577.
- 19. Fujimoto H, Asai K, Watanabe T, Kanazawa H, Hirata K. Association of six-minute walk distance (6MWD) with resting pulmonary function in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Osaka City Med J 2011;57:21-9.
- 20. Diaz AA, Pinto-Plata V, Hernandez C, Pena J, Ramos C, Diaz JC, et al. Emphysema and DLCO predict a clinically important difference for 6MWD decline in COPD. Respir Med 2015;109:882-9.